

**Project Name: Fee Waiver Workflow Automation** 

Click on the individual Score Assigned field to see the values and use the drop down list to select the value.

Criteria	W	eight	Score Assigned	Weighted Score
VALUE TO THE UNIVERSITY	3	30%		
1. Is this project required or mandated?	1	10%	0.00	0
2. Does this project support HSU's strategic objectives for IT Project	ct Prioritization?	8%	3.00	24
3. Is the project an urgent need for the University?		3%	1.20	3.6
4. What is the effect on staffing or systems?		3%	5.00	15
5. What is the impact to the proposed system?		3%	2.60	7.8
6. Is there a positive return on investment?		3%	0.00	0
VALUE TO THE CUSTOMER	2	25%		
7. What are the consequences of doing nothing?		5%	1.80	9
8. How are business rules and/or processes impacted?	:	5%	3.00	15
9. Does it improve the ability of users to perform tasks?		8%	5.00	40
10. What users will be impacted or benefit?		5%	3.80	19
11. How much job training is necessary?		2%	5.00	10
PROJECT READINESS	2	20%		
12. Has a project scope been defined?		5%	3.80	19
13. What is the project timeline?		3%	1.00	3
14. Is there available funding for the project?		6%	5.00	30
15. Is there a project sponsor?		6%	4.60	27.6
SYSTEM RISK 25%			•	
16. What type of data is involved?		5%	5.00	25
17. What is the state of the current system?	1	10%	1.80	18
18. What is the technology maturity, complexity, and the University	s experience with it?	5%	5.00	25
19. What is the project success track record of the vendor and Univ	ersity resources?	5%	5.00	25
Project Value Totals		62	316	
ı	Project Value Maximums 1	00%	95	500

Project Prioritization Steering Committee Review		
Date:	Tuesday, April 24, 2012	
General Commer	nts rage Score based on Prioritization Steering Committee Results	
Consolidated Ave	rage Score based on Phonization Steering Committee Results	
Resource Comm	ents	
i		